## EAST CHICAGO WATERWAY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING

2

1

3

4

5

Transcript of the meeting of the East Chicago Waterway Management District had on the 20th day of March, 2013, at 5:10 p.m., at the East Chicago City Annex Building, 4444 Railroad Avenue, East Chicago, Indiana.

7

6

8

9

10

## APPEARANCES:

- MR. JOHN FEKETE, Board President
- MR. ERNEST JONES, Board Vice-President
- 12 MR. JOHN BAKOTA, Board Member
- 13 MR. RAY LOPEZ, Board Member
- 14 MR. HENRY RODRIGUEZ, Board Member
- 15 MS. ELLEN GREGORY, Board Attorney
  - MR. FERNANDO TREVINO, Executive Director
- 17 MR. TIM ANDERSON, Clifton Larson Allen
- MR. MIKE NGUYEN, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
- 19 MR. DAVID ALONZO, BMO Harris Bank

20

16

21

22 TRANSCRIBED BY: Mary L. Slafindor

23

24

25

SLAFINDOR COURT REPORTING 315 South Court Street Crown Point, IN 46307 Telephone: 219-662-4060 Facsimile: 219-662-2529

MR. FEKETE: I'd like to call to order the March 20th meeting of the East Chicago Waterway Management District. The time is 5:10.

Before we go to the roll call, I would like to make mention of the fact that this past week we were informed of the passing of Steve Zabroski. Many of you know Steve, and he's been a long-time attendee of this particular meeting. And his presence will be sorely missed. And we've always appreciated his very straightforward reporting, and he's always done a good job on keeping the public informed of the activities of this particular group. So again, I just wanted to make mention of that fact.

For those of you who may not have seen any particulars, we have a copy of *The Times*, so after the meeting you can take a quick look at it.

Call to order. Ernie Jones?

MR. JONES: Here.

MR. FEKETE: John Bakota?

MR. BAKOTA: Here.

MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Here.

MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?

MR. LOPEZ: Here.

MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, here

Mike Ebert? Rich Gomez? We have a quorum.

The consulting report, Mr. Trevino.

MR. TREVINO: Yes, Fernando Trevino with FMT Consulting. Like to start off with reviewing the contents of your board packet.

You have the board minutes for the February 20th, 2013 board meeting.

You have the financial statements from Clifton Larson dated February 28th, 2013. The claim docket for approval consideration, March 20th, 2013.

You have Special Waste Profile

Recertification, along with a letter from Arcadis dated

March 6th, 2013. And the profile recertification is

dated and signed by me March 13th, 2013.

You have in your board packet
Resolution 2013-2A. It's an amended resolution
regarding the Jeorse Park Beach Modeling Study. And
that's on the agenda for consideration.

You have in your packet manifest sheets for -- there should be 15 of them -- for roll off boxes on the south tank farm for my signature and review.

You have a notice of change of agency coordinator. And the names on the form is John

Fekete, as president of the board, and Fernando Trevino, and it's got me listed as the executive director. And that's for approval and for signature.

You have in your packet an insurance proposal. It's dated March 19th, 2013, and it's titled Acuity Property Quotation, Customer Copy. That's on the agenda for approval consideration.

You have in your packet a 3-way contract between the Waterway Management District, Ellen Gregory and Mirko Blesich, which his company is Municipal Real Estate Advisors. As I mentioned, that's on the agenda for approval consideration.

You have a summary of the 2013 user fee collections to date, along with the deposit memos and receipts.

You have information from Boyce (phonetics) regarding software, and I'll elaborate on that in a second.

You have in your packet a March 12th, 2013 from Union Tank Car regarding the parcels of property they're claiming they no longer own. So we'll be following up on that.

You have a Citizens Bank statement dated 2-28-2013. There's 2, one ending in 608, the other ending in 616, same date.

q

You have a BMO Harris Bank

Facilities Trust Report dated March 18th, 2013. Harris brokerage account statement for account ending in 534 for the month of February, 2013. You have in your packet BMO Bank account statement for account ending in 712, and ending in 761 for the month of February, 2013.

You have a notice from Partners for Clean Air annual luncheon meeting, which will occur on April 18th at the Lost Marsh.

You have an Arcadis report dated February 26th and February 28th. And one is for the recovery for the month of September, 2012, and the other is for October, 2012.

You have a memo from the Department of the Army dated March 15th, 2013, from Colonel Drummond. And you have the monthly report to the board from the Army Corps dated March 20th, 2013.

And Attorney Ellen Gregory's report dated March 20th, 2013. That concludes the items in your board packet.

On an update on a couple items. At the last meeting, the board approved the first reading on a resolution for Jeorse Park Modeling Study. After further discussion, it was decided that it would be a good idea to amend it. So on the agenda today is an

amended resolution, and it will be the first reading under the amended resolution.

I mentioned you have a spreadsheet in your packet summarizing the 2013 collections.

yesterday regarding insurance. There's a proposal on property coverage for up to \$25,000 in your packet. And that's on the agenda for approval.

The Great Lakes Legacy Act. I completed a draft application that was sent to the EPA on March 1st, 2013 for their review. Mr. Scott Ireland has responded that they will be looking at it and getting comments back to us.

The business cards for the board were ordered and handed out.

I attended the city's lakefront development public meeting last week. Other ongoing regular meetings include the canal oil response, the dredging project meetings and the BP biweekly meetings.

fee appeal form to the Indiana Public Records Commission for their review and approval. I'll let her elaborate on that during her report to the board.

The Indy Boulevard parkway improvement meeting was held last week, Thursday. The

Corps will be reviewing some options and costs as a result of that meeting.

We've been doing a self-assessment of our user fee collection process. Some of the opportunities to improve our process include finding a software that will better manage all the data that is collected through the user fees, so in the event that information is needed on the user fees, whether it's a parcel number or the collection status, it will be a lot easier to access that type of information. So Mr. Tim Anderson and I have been working on that on some software availabilities.

Finance committee meeting was held yesterday. Some of the things we discussed was the strategic planning process. I'll be talking to a consultant and getting a proposal from him on what it would take to provide those services to the District.

We discussed a direction of future maturing CDs, and Mr. Alonzo can elaborate on that during his report. We talked about the hiring of a real estate consultant.

And as I mentioned, that's on your agenda, and it's a 3-way contract between the District, Attorney Ellen Gregory and Municipal Real Estate Advisors. We've used Mr. Mirko Blesich in the past and he's done a good job. And we also talked about the user fee management

software.

I mentioned the air quality meeting on April 18th. I did ask if that invitation was open to the board members, and the answer was yes. So if anyone's interested in that, you either go online and register, or you can let me know and I can register for you.

We have a conference call meeting tomorrow, Ellen and I, with the attorney general's office regarding establishing collection process for companies who are past due on user fees.

Manifests. As I mentioned, I signed the profile on behalf of the District, and a copy of that is in your packet. And I'll be doing a site visit tomorrow as part of signing of the 15 manifests that are in your packet. And that will probably happen sometime after 2:00 p.m. I don't know if any board member is interested in joining me, you're welcome to. And that concludes my report.

MR. FEKETE: Any questions for Mr.

Trevino?

## (NO RESPONSE).

MR. FEKETE: Thank you very much.

Approval of the board meeting notes for our last meeting dated February 20th. Entertain a motion.

MR. JONES: I move to accept. 1 2 MR. BAKOTA: Second. 3 All in favor signify by MR. FEKETE: 4 saying aye. 5 THE BOARD: (Collective "aye") 6 Any objections, MR. FEKETE: 7 abstentions? 8 (NO RESPONSE) 9 MR. FEKETE: Motion approved. 10 Accounting consultant report, Mr. Anderson. 11 MR. ANDERSON: Tim Anderson, Clifton 12 Larson Allen. You have a copy of our report. This is 13 for the 2 months ended February 28th. The first page with numbers on it shows that through February, we've 14 15 received \$309,000, which is made up of \$236,000 from the trust; that was our budget transfer. And we've 17 collected \$72,647 in user fees to date. And then you 18 can see our disbursements. Legal, engineering, accounting, all of those good things we pay for. 19 20 there's been an increase in our cash accounts since January of \$262,000. Balance at the beginning was 1.2 million, and now we have 1.5 million between the 22 23 operating account and the user fee account. 24 The next page with numbers on it is

just a summary of those 2 accounts. And we break out

25

the interest by month and the cash disbursements. We did transfer money from our user fee account to the operating checking account for the user fee portion of our budget, which is the 122. And that's how the balance in the accounts fall out. There's 404 in our operating, and a million 1 in our user fee account.

The last page -- and I tried to make this as big as I could. I don't know what I'll do as the months go by, but for now it's good. You can see the budget, which is what you guys passed for '13. We get to add to that budget the things that weren't paid by December 31st but attributable to last year. So our total appropriation is the 451,000, and then each month we pay our bills down. So you have this available budget left, and what that available budget left is, is the balance of our checking account. So there's 404,000 left of our 2013 budget. And we break it down by line so that we can keep track of how much budget we have left when we cut checks.

Any questions?

(NO RESPONSE).

MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.

was we have it in our packet. I'll entertain a motion to approve the accounts payable.

1	MR. LOPEZ: I motion to approve.
2	MR. JONES: Second.
3	MR. FEKETE: We'll have a roll call
4	vote. Ernie Jones?
5	MR. JONES: Yes.
6	MR. FEKETE: John Bakota?
7	MR. BAKOTA: Yes.
8	MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez?
9	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
10	MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?
11	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.
12	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, I vote
13	yes. Mike Ebert? Rich Gomez? Motion to approve the
14	accounts payable voucher is positive.
15	Next on the agenda is the resolution
16	on the Jeorse Beach Modeling Study. Upon further review
17	and discussion, we amended the resolution that was
18	approved last month, and amended it by including
19	basically the language to include that we would be
20	agreeing to pay \$40,000 pending the approval of the
21	Indiana Department of Natural Resources on the project.
22	They are actually the state sponsored agency that will
23	be putting up the other half, so we wanted to make sure
24	that there was a linkage between our contribution and

25 theirs as well.

1	So I will entertain a motion to
2	approve the resolution.
3	MR. LOPEZ: Does that include the
4	amendment?
5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Make a motion to
6	approve the amended resolution.
7	MR. BAKOTA: Second.
8	MR. FEKETE: Ernie Jones?
9	MR. JONES: Yes.
10	MR. FEKETE: John Bakota?
11	MR. BAKOTA: Yes.
12	MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez?
13	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
14	MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?
15	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.
16	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, I vote
17	yes: Mike Ebert? Rich Gomez? Motion is approved.
18	MR. TREVINO: Just a question of
19	clarification. Should this resolution be with today's
20	date and signed today, or should it wait for the second?
21	MS. GREGORY: I think it should be
22	signed today as a first reading of the resolution.
23	MR. FEKETE: The next item on the
24	agenda is the approval for Mr. Trevino to sign the 15
25	disposal manifests. I'll entertain a motion.

1	MR. RODRIGUEZ: So moved.
2	MR. FEKETE: Do I have a second?
3	MR. JONES: Second.
4	MR. FEKETE: We have a motion to
5	approve and a second. I'll have a roll call vote on
6	this as well. Ernie Jones?
7	MR. JONES: Yes.
8	MR. FEKETE: John Bakota?
9	MR. BAKOTA: Yes.
10	MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez?
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
12	MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?
13	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.
14	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, I vote
15	yes Mike Ebert? Rich Gomez?
16	MR. TREVINO: Can I ask a question,
17	Mr. Fekete?
18	MR. FEKETE: Yeah.
19	MR. TREVINO: I put that on the
20	agenda for approval because we've recently entered into
21	an agreement with BP to take part in this. And I'm
22	wondering, there's going to be more manifests in the
23	future to sign. What's the pleasure of the board?
24	Should I bring that every time it comes for signature to

25 the board, or can I sign --

т.	MR. PEREIE. Well, you le not going
2	to be doing 15 at one time, are you?
3	MR. TREVINO: No.
4	MR. FEKETE: I wouldn't think so.
5	So we'll just include it as part of our normal routine
6	reporting and we can just approve it.
7	MR. TREVINO: So I'll put it on the
8	agenda in the future.
9	MR. FEKETE: We can include it in
10	your report, and saying we have 1 and I have signed it,
11	or I'm ready to assign it. And we'll just approve your
12	report along with that at the same time.
13	MR. TREVINO: Yeah. And the reasons
14	there's 15 now, it's just there was
15	MR. FEKETE: We're playing catch-up.
16	MR. TREVINO: discussion on what
17	the process should be.
18	MR. BAKOTA: Have any been removed?
19	MR. TREVINO: No. After my visit
20	tomorrow and signing off on them, then they will.
21	MR. FEKETE: Next item is the notice
22	of change of agency coordinator.
23	MR. TREVINO: I'm going to defer to
24	our attorney on this one.
25	MS. GREGORY: Should I just do that

as part of my presentation, or do you want me to do it now?

MR. TREVINO: Well, they're going

to consider voting on approving it, so you probably need

5 to talk about it now.

MS. GREGORY: Ellen Gregory. This came up when we were looking at the requirements for record keeping for all of the documents relating to the District. And Fernando and I spoke with a couple of representatives of the Indiana Public Records

Commission, and noted that there was this form that's supposed to be filed with the state identifying who is actually the records coordinator for every agency is. So this is the form that needs to be submitted to that agency so that Fernando is on record as being the contact in case anybody wants to file a request for records of the District.

MR. FEKETE: I'll entertain a motion to proceed with that change of agency coordinator document.

MR. LOPEZ: Motion to accept.

MR. FEKETE: Do I have a second?

MR. BAKOTA: Second.

MR. FEKETE: All in favor signify by

saying yes.

1	THE BOARD: (Collective "yes"):
2	MR. FEKETE: Any opposition, any
3	abstention?
4	(NO RESPONSE).
5	MR. FEKETE: Motion approved.
6	Property insurance coverage?
7	MR. TREVINO: As I mentioned, you
8	have in your packet a proposal for coverage of property
9	owned by the Waterway Management District, and this is
10	more stuff like office furniture, computer, file
11	cabinets and that type of stuff. And the limit is
12	\$25,000 and the cost is \$254.
13	MR. FEKETE: I'll entertain a motion
14	to accept the property insurance coverage document
15	MR. BAKOTA: Motion to accept
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second.
17	MR. FEKETE: We have a motion and a
18	second. All in favor signify by saying yes.
19	THE BOARD: (Collective "yes")
20	MR. FEKETE: Any objections, any
21	abstentions?
22	(NO RESPONSE).
23	MR. FEKETE: Motion approved. Next
24	item is Ms. Gregory, real estate advisor's contract.
25	MR. GREGORY: I'll just briefly go

through. I think Fernando mentioned in general what this contract is. Mirko Blesich is an advisor, lots of knowledge on real estate transactions and identifying easements and pipeline easements. He had helped us earlier transfer the ownership of the parcels from the East Chicago Property Improvement Corporation to the District.

And this is basically an agreement to retain his services for real estate issues moving forward, which would include transfers of the parcels that are owned by the District, which we are considering moving forward with. And then other real estate issues related to certain parcels on the CDF property. And the way we have set it up is Municipal Real Estate Advisors will be reporting to me, as the attorney for the District, and the District will be a third party signing on and being responsible for payment of invoices. And Mr. Blesich has agreed to accept payment up to 60 days after the invoice is submitted to allow us to get the approval from the board, and then I will end up paying his invoices directly.

MR. LOPEZ: He's local, right, and he's done this before?

MS. GREGORY: He's worked with us before, yes.

1	MR. FEKETE: Any questions?
2	(NO RESPONSE).
3	MR. FEKETE: I'll entertain a motion
4	to accept this consulting service agreement.
5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Motion to accept
6	MR. FEKETE: Do I have a second?
7	MR. LOPEZ: Second.
8	MR. FEKETE: Roll call vote. Ernie
9	Jones?
10	MR. JONES: Yes.
11	MR. FEKETE: John Bakota?
12	MR. BAKOTA: Yes.
13	MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez?
14	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
15	MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?
16	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.
17	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, I vote
18	yes. Mike Ebert? Rich Gomez? The motion to accept the
19	consulting service agreement has been approved.
20	Harris Bank trust report. Mr.
21	Alonzo
22	MR. ALONZO: David Alonzo with BMO
23	Harris Bank. I'm going to run through our report here.
24	Page 1 is our basic chart showing the historical values
25	of the trust account since 1997 till now. And then also

the disbursements from 1997 up until now. This report is as of March 18th.

Page 2, the value as of March 18th was \$6,129,318. That was a difference from our last meeting of \$236,892. We did a disbursement from the trust account last month, which was for \$236,975. We have — the difference between that negative and what we took out was there was a positive gain of about \$90 worth of interest from last month. That interest was attributed to the money market account that we had.

Page 3, we've got a new little pie chart on there for Ray. You had requested that we get a little chart to show how the money was allocated. I believe last time around there was a chart similar to that. But this will go through the money market balance. So the money market balance as of right now is \$553,334. That's pretty much in the ballpark of what we wanted to keep in that account at all times.

The one thing I have highlighted here in the yellow is what we discussed during the finance committee. On April 19th, we will have a CD maturing for 1.5 million dollars. There's going to be some interest that will be paid, of course, on it at maturity, so it will be worth more.

The conversation that we had, I

wanted to go back and talked to our fixed income department to see what was available for terms of about 3 years or less. And the trust is restricted on what we can invest in. It's really FDIC, government bonds, agency bonds. And at this time, as I mentioned, treasury bonds are extremely low; we're better off in CDs. And agency bonds are not much better either. 3-year CD is pretty equivalent to what we're going to find in an agency bond. And it just makes sense at this point to keep it in a CD. I think Mike had recommended that he felt most comfortable keeping it 2 years or less, the CD. I did note that we did not have anything maturing in 2014 for the CDs. So what we had come to the conclusion was, when this CD does mature, we would turn around and do a 13-month CD at the current interest rate's right now at .35. I'm still waiting to see if I can get approval for an increase in that rate. So I'm going to be hearing back on that. But at least we know it will be at least 35 basis points as of now.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

I did take a look at a 2-year interest rate, and the interest rate on a 2-year CD is actually the same as our 13-month special. I know it's nothing special about it. But for what it's worth, it's .35.

The next meeting, we will have some

interest credited from our 60-month CD. You can see that on March 28th of this month there'll be some interest that is credited to that CD. And then really after that, we'll have one maturing in October.

At the next meeting, I'll bring a letter of instruction to have John sign to show that when this CD does mature on April 19th, we will move those funds into a 13-month CD.

Any questions?

MR. FEKETE: Any questions?
(NO RESPONSE).

MR. FEKETE: Thank you very much.

Army Corps report, Mr. Nguyen.

MR. NGUYEN: Hi. Mike Nguyen with the Army Corps of Engineers. I'd like to give a briefing.

For the CDF construction, the groundwater gradient control. Still having ongoing testing and repairs of the gradient control system.

The facility and dredging operation plan. The dredging operation is still shut down for the winter, and we will be discussing with the contractor on potentially when to restart dredging operations. So we're anticipating sometime in April. And the Dickey Road closure, we'll be opening up the Dickey Road

closure. So we'll keep the board informed of that, the start of dredging.

I've added a new construction contract is the retrofit contract. We're currently developing scope of work to repair the main entrance ramp, install additional extraction wells, install (inaudible) and improve the parkway. We're still coordinating with board members on the parkway improvement and we'll get back to the board on sort of the cost and plans to be implemented.

CDF documentation for the permits.

The Corps is still gathering information for the submission of the TSCA permits, and we're still coordinating with BP for the oil boom. We're going to have to do additional coordination just before we start dredging operations.

For the PCA and the work in-kind documentation. Work is still ongoing. And that's all I have.

MR. FEKETE: Any questions for Mr.

21 Nguyen?

MR. BAKOTA: Mr. President, I'd like to bring up, and also Mike. We met with the beautification of the parkway parallel to the CDF. We met with Mike and his people, and we talked about that

fabric on the fence.

MR. NGUYEN: Yes

MR. BAKOTA: And there's a high maintenance cost to it. We voted once I know to keep the fabric up. They suggested if they took 2 sections down, 2 or 4 down, to expose the side of the CDF, leave it that way for sometime and see if we get any complaints from the citizens or anybody complaining. They're going to redo the roadway where there'd be less dust contamination. So we agree that we'll bring it up in front of the board. So after this, I'll make a motion that we allow them to take down a section.

MR. TREVINO: On a trial basis?

MR. BAKOTA: On a trial basis, yeah.

MR. FEKETE: Would they -- are you

finished? I'm sorry.

MR. BAKOTA: Yeah.

MR. FEKETE: Would you do this

before or after you improve the road?

MR. NGUYEN: We would like to do it before we improve it, just to see, because the public doesn't get to see the other side of the fence.

Basically, more of a prairie type grass along the CDF.

MR. BAKOTA: There's not much traffic on that road now though, is there?

1 MR. NGUYEN: No. The only traffic 2 would be with the Corps vehicle. 3 MR. BAKOTA: Light vehicles? 4 MR. NGUYEN: Light vehicles 5 Basically trucks, sedans. 6 MR. FEKETE: I guess the point of my 7 question is, isn't the barrier also to prevent dust from 8 blowing through? And if the roadway isn't improved, 9 then it still might kick up dust. 10 MR. NGUYEN: We'll control the dust 11 within our road. The main purpose for the fabric was 12 during the construction of the dikes. And it created a 13 lot of dust in which we wanted to put the fabric up to control the dust. 14 15 Now construction's done with 16 basically dredging in the harbor and exposing the sediment through a pipe system, so we wouldn't have a 17 lot of vehicles through the roads. 18 19 If the board would allow the Corps 20 to remove a section of the fabric, maybe near the north 21 end as a test program. 22 MR. BAKOTA: At the north? 23 MR. NGUYEN: At the north of the site, near Cline.

I would like a member

MR. FEKETE:

25

1 of the board, presumably Mr. Bakota, to be present when 2 you're taking this down. Because a test section in our 3 mind might be different than what actually occurs when 4 somebody goes out there. 5 Can you do it in feet, MR. BAKOTA: 6 how many feet it would be? 7 MR. NGUYEN: No, because the fabric I think runs 50 feet. So unless you really want to get 8 9 a good view, you might have to remove 2 or 3 sheets. 10 MR. BAKOTA: You mean 2 or 3 sheets 11 of 50-foot sheets? 12 Yes, so maybe 150 feet. MR. NGUYEN: 13 That's a lot of fabric. MR. BAKOTA: 14 I want it known that we're doing it as a test, because 15 the board, we were pushed by this before that the Corps 16 wanted it removed and we said no. 50 foot, that would 17 remove a good portion of that section from the north 18 side going south, the fence line. Cline Avenue running 19 south. 20 MR. TREVINO:

MR. TREVINO: Mike, were you guys -I thought during our discussion last week, also you
mentioned something about doing something different on
the roadway?

21

22

23

24

25

MR. NGUYEN: Yeah, we're looking into adding on some kind of mix to the gravel road to

control the dust. So some kind of an additive to the gravel mix. We're still investigating that.

MR. BAKOTA: You're talking 3 sections, you're talking 150 foot.

MR. TREVINO: If it's a test to determine if you need -- in the future you're not going to need it, it's probably not a bad idea to do 150 because it's going to give you a good snapshot of what you're going to see in the future. You know what I mean? I mean, if the end result is to say we're going to do away with the fabric, it's probably a good idea to do something that's going to be representative of what the future's going to be.

MR. BAKOTA: I'd like some input from the board.

what we're talking, the whole length of the CDF of the fence, I think 150 feet would be a good shot, because like he said, you're talking quite a bit of fence there. And 50 feet from that whole length might not give you enough.

MR. TREVINO: And there's 2 major things that have resulted in less dust. One is, there's no heavy equipment going back and forth. And then the other is, the improvements on the roadway. So it's

probably not a bad idea.

MR. FEKETE: 150 feet is 50 yards. Envision half a football field, it's really not that much.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Picture your house, because I know my property from the front to the back is 130, 150 feet. So it's about that. And like I said, for the whole length of that fence, 150 feet.

MR. LOPEZ: So this is a trial, and if it turns out okay, then you're going to take off the rest?

MR. NGUYEN: We would slowly remove the rest until — we won't remove everything all at once.

MR. BAKOTA: And if the board wishes it be reinstalled?

MR. NGUYEN: If the board wishes, if there was an issue, we'll first see what the issues are and try to resolve that. And we'll still insist on trying to reduce our maintenance cost, but if the board unanimously agrees to put it back, we'll put it back.

MR. FEKETE: So you have a motion to approve what we were just discussing?

MR. BAKOTA: Yeah, 150 foot of fabric removed from the fence line, starting at the

1	north end south. Is that your request?
2	MR. NGUYEN: Yes, it will be just
3	the north near Cline.
4	MR. BAKOTA: Running south, 150 foot
5	of fabric.
6	MR. NGUYEN: And you'll be present
7	when we remove it.
8	MR. FEKETE: I will entertain a
9	second to Mr. Bakota's motion.
10	MR. LOPEZ: I second.
11	MR. FEKETE: All in favor signify by
12	saying yes.
13	THE BOARD: (Collective "yes")
14	MR. FEKETE: Any opposition, any
15	abstentions?
16	(NO RESPONSE).
17	MR. FEKETE: Motion passes Thank
18	you.
19	MR. JONES: I'd just like to say one
20	thing. I think it would be very helpful to ease the
21	common citizens' concerns, because this is all covered
22	and they read all this stuff in the paper about the CDF.
23	They think it's a big nasty place. Now they can
24	actually see it, it's nicely landscaped.
25	MR. BAKOTA: So that was the Army

1	Corps
2	MR. TREVINO: Yeah, that was one of
3	the points they made.
4	MR. BAKOTA: And if you see it in
5	the summer with the wind blowing over that wheat or
6	whatever they plant there.
7	MR. NGUYEN: Yeah, it's grass.
8	MR. FEKETE: Native vegetation.
9	MR. BAKOTA: It doesn't look bad at
10	all.
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: What kind of time
12	frame are you looking at to take that down?
13	MR. NGUYEN: We could start probably
14	the next few weeks, or earlier. Whenever the board
15	wants.
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: When the real spring
17	shows up.
18	MR. BAKOTA: Yeah, I wouldn't want
19	to be out there now .
20	MR. NGUYEN: We could wait until
21	sometime in April. But we'll schedule a date when
22	you're available to come out.
23	MR. BAKOTA: When it's warm, 80.
24	That would be fine, whenever.
25	MR. FEKETE: Any other questions for

Τ	mr. nguyen?
2	MR. JONES: I had a question
3	regarding the road improvements. You're going to
4	improve the entrance. How many feet are you going back
5	with that improvement?
6	MR. NGUYEN: We're actually
7	lowering, making the slope less deep. So we're actually
8	dropping it, so not like extending it out. So it's more
9	of a safety concern during the wintertime because that
10	slope is a little bit steeper.
11	MR. JONES: What's the roadway
12	material? Is it asphalt, concrete, or crushed stone?
13	MR. NGUYEN: Again, we're still
14	working on the scope. I believe it I think it might
15	be concrete.
16	MR. JONES: Because that's my
17	concern with moving it back and your road is crushed
18	stone
19	MR. NGUYEN: Right.
20	MR. JONES: Creating dust,
21	especially at the entrance.
22	MR. FEKETE: Any other questions?
23	(NO RESPONSE).
24	MR. FEKETE: And again, we thank

25 you, Mr. Nguyen.

MR. NGUYEN: All right, thanks.

MR. FEKETE: Next on the agenda is

the Ellen Gregory report.

2.4

MS. GREGORY: I think I got left off because I wasn't here last month.

MR. TREVINO: Oh, yeah you did. I apologize.

me back in. Mostly I'm going to just elaborate on some of the items that Mr. Trevino raised.

On the insurance issue, there are kind of 3 different baskets that we're trying to cover. Those would be property coverage, liability and directors and officers. And as Fernando mentioned, we have some of the property coverage addressed with this quote that's in the board packet, and we're moving forward with Randy Vale to try and address the other topics.

Also in the packet is a March 15th letter from the Colonel of the Army Corps regarding 2012 dredging. And Mr. Trevino and I have looked at that and gone back to the Arcelor Mittal tipping fee agreement and compared those. We're going to be trying to meet with representatives of Army Corps to kind of go through that letter and add a little bit of detail so that we

have a better picture of what exactly was done in terms of cubic yards and costs for the 2012 dredging, so we know what kind of reconciliation needs to be done with what's already been paid.

Kind of along the same vein, we're trying to set up something with the Army Corps to talk through what the 2013 dredging will entail, so we have the estimates also to comply with the terms of the Arcelor Mittal tipping fee agreement.

We have a tentative meeting scheduled on April 10th with Kim Sabo, and I believe Mike Nguyen will be part of it, to go through some of that information, along with hopefully some more detailed, up-to-date financial information on cost of the CDF, mostly past costs and reconciling where we are with our payments.

Just to kind of reiterate. I think both of these items have been addressed. But in terms of record keeping, we have the records coordinator form that was approved today, and also we are in contact with a Sarah Bundy (phonetics), who is with the forms division of the Indiana Public Records Commission, to make sure that our user fee appeal form is properly approved by the state.

Tomorrow, Fernando and I have a

phone call with 2 representatives from the attorney general's office to talk about the possibility of having them assist us in pursuing non-payers of user fees. And apparently there's a memorandum of understanding whereby they can assist agencies like ours to do that.

2.1

And then I think I already pretty much described the MREA, the Mirko Blesich contract, but I'm willing to entertain any questions if anybody has anything on that. And that's all I have.

MR. FEKETE: Any questions?

MR. LOPEZ: Yes. Matter of information on the Army Corps. On the bottom of the page it says, "During the dredging operation, we encountered large amount of debris that exceeded our contract quantity."

MS. GREGORY: Yes. We were aware of that before we received this letter. And that's probably going to be part of our discussions when we have that meeting in April. It's my understanding that a lot of the debris that was found was boulders and rocks that were spilled in there to stop the wall from kind of caving in from the canal whenever that was, probably 10 years ago at least. And large amounts of other types of debris, just because they hadn't dredged in so long.

MR. LOPEZ: So there's going to be an extra charge for that then?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

24

25

MS. GREGORY: Yeah. And that was part of what the Corps had been having to negotiate with their contractor, because that was an additional cost that they had anticipated. Is that right?

MR. NGUYEN: Yes. I want to make 2 statements The stone that we placed to stabilize the wall was in the Lake George branch. The larger amount of debris, that's still inside the harbor when we dredge. So we anticipated -- actually the contractor estimated that there was basically almost a 10 to 1 ratio. Basically for every 10 cubic yards, they pulled up 1 ton of debris. And so we actually finalized negotiations with the contractor. We're revising our costs for federal and non-federal dredging. And we actually met with Arcelor Mittal today to discuss the revised costs.

MS. GREGORY: You'll share that with
us, right?

MR. NGUYEN: Yes, we'll share with you. And we wanted to make sure that Arcelor is fine with sort of the new unit cost price and how it affects their cost. So since the harbor hasn't been dredged for 40 plus years, we expected debris inside the harbor, but

1	it was a larger amount than what we anticipated.
2	MR. FEKETE: Any new business to
3	come before the board?
4	(NO RESPONSE)
5	MR. FEKETE: Any other business?
6	MR. JONES: Yes. I've just got a
7	comment regarding this waste profile manifest. Do we
8	get copies back after it's delivered?
9	MR. TREVINO: Ask that again.
10	MR. JONES: On this hazardous waste
11	manifest. We're the generator so we sign off on it,
12	then it's transported and delivered. Do we get the
13	confirmation that it's been delivered?
14	MR. TREVINO: Yes.
15	MR. JONES: We do?
16	MR. TREVINO: Yes
17	MR. FEKETE: Any other questions?
18	(NO RESPONSE).
19	MR. FEKETE: Public comments?
20	MS. KING: Question.
21	MR. FEKETE: State your name please
22	for the record.
23	MS. KING: Carla King, Cal Project.
24	I'm requesting a copy of the board meeting notes for
25	February and this month.

1	MR. TREVINO: You have my email, so
2	you can easily email them. And one other comment on the
3	dredging that's ongoing or when it starts. I know
4	you're going to be measuring the toxins that's coming
5	up, correct?
6	MR. BAKOTA: There's monitors.
7	MS. KING: They'll be monitors? And
8	at some point the public will be made aware of?
9	MS. NGUYEN: All the information is
10	on the website right now. We have realtime air
11	monitoring data. So if you just go to the Corps
12	website.
13	MS. KING: Just give it to me after
14	the meeting, I can get the website.
15	MR. NGUYEN: Yes, I think if you
16	just go to if you leave me your information, I'll
17	forward you the website.
18	MR. FEKETE: Any other questions,
19	comments?
20	(NO RESPONSE)
21	MR. FEKETE: Our next meeting will
22	be April 17th at 5:00 p.m. I'll entertain a motion to
23	adjourn.
24	MR. BAKOTA: Motion to adjourn.
25	MR. FEKETE: Do I have a second?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second. MR. FEKETE: All signify by saying yes. (Collective "yes") THE BOARD: No objections. 

STATE OF INDIANA SS: 2 COUNTY OF LAKE 3 4 5 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 6 I, MARY L. SLAFINDOR, Court Reporter and Notary 7 Public in and for the State of Indiana, do hereby certify that I have transcribed from my stenographic notes the above-entitled meeting of the East Chicago Waterway Management District Board of Directors Meeting. Said notes contain all of the statements made during the meeting, to the best of my ability. 10 I further certify that the foregoing transcript, as 11 prepared by me, is a full, true, correct and complete copy of said stenographic notes made in the above-12 entitled meeting. 13 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand this 11th day of April, 2013. 14 15 L. SLAFINDOR, Court Reporter 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

25